UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/575,092 | 04/07/2006 | Takashi Arakane | 288835US0PCT | 8650 |
| 22850 7590 12/02/2009 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. | | | EXAMINER | |
| 1940 DUKE ST | REET | WILLIAMS, JOSEPH L | | |
| ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | 2889 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 12/02/2009 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|----------------|--|
| 10/575,092 | ARAKANE ET AL. | |
| | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | Joseph L. Williams | 2889 | |
|--|--|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appear | ars on the cover sheet with the d | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>13 November 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of <i>i</i> eplies: (1) an amendment, affidaving al (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE). | date of the final rejection | n. .ED WITHIN TWO |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sist forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount on tending amount of the corresponding amount of the correct and the corre | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | te extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. 🔯 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b | ut prior to the date of filing a brief, | will <u>not</u> be entered be | cause |
| (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further con | sideration and/or search (see NOT | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | | t alama an alamatic tama (b | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | er form for appeal by materially red | lucing or simplifying tr | ne issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11 | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (F | PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | owable if submitted in a separate, t | imely filed amendmen | t canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | be entered and an ex | planation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | /ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | ıl and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1) | s to provide a |
| 10. | of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attache | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowand | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | /Joseph L. Williams/ | | |
| | Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 2889 | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The added limitation of "the carrier barrier layer which is formed of bathocuproine is excluded" requires further search and consideration.